

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILI	NG DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO		
10/666,237 09/18/2003		18/2003	Mark R. Miskin	3090-5854US	8481		
24247	7590	03/15/2005		EXAMINER ·			
TRASK B			NOVOSAD, CHRISTOPHER J				
	E CITY, UT	84110		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
				3671			
				DATE MAILED CONSTRO	DATE MAILED 02/15/0005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	·				
		Applicat	ion No.	Applicant(s)	_ /
Office Action Summary			237	MISKIN, MARK R.	\vee
			r '	Art Unit	$\overline{}$
		Christoph	ner J. Novosad	3671	6
The MAILIN Period for Reply	IG DATE of this communication	appears on th	e cover sheet with the	correspondence addre	ess –
A SHORTENED S THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may after SIX (6) MONTHS - If the period for reply is - Failure to reply within the Any reply received by the	TATUTORY PERIOD FOR RETE OF THIS COMMUNICATION be available under the provisions of 37 CFI from the mailing date of this communication lecified above is less than thirty (30) days, a specified above, the maximum statutory per lessel or extended period for reply will, by state office later than three months after the maximum. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DN. R 1.136(a). In no e r reply within the sta riod will apply and v atute, cause the ap	vent, however, may a reply be ti tutory minimum of thirty (30) da vill expire SIX (6) MONTHS fror plication to become ABANDON	imely filed ays will be considered timely, in the mailing date of this comm ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	· nunication.
Status					
2a) ☐ This action i 3) ☐ Since this ap	to communication(s) filed on <u>1</u> s FINAL . 2b) 2 condition for allowed and the practice und	This action is a wance excep	non-final. t for formal matters, pr		erits is
Disposition of Claim	5				
4a) Of the ab 5) ☐ Claim(s) 6) ☐ Claim(s) 7) ☐ Claim(s)		drawn from co		,	
Application Papers					
10) The drawing (Applicant may Replacement	tion is objected to by the Exams) filed on is/are: a) is/ore: a) interest that any objection to drawing sheet(s) including the corlectaration is objected to by the	accepted or b the drawing(s) rection is requi	be held in abeyance. Sered if the drawing(s) is ol	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). bjected to. See 37 CFR	
Priority under 35 U.S	.C. § 119				
a) All b) 1. Certifi 2. Certifi 3. Copie applic	nent is made of a claim for fore Some * c) None of: ed copies of the priority documed copies of the priority documes of the certified copies of the pation from the International Burned detailed Office action for a	ents have beents have been briority documerau (PCT Ru	en received. en received in Applicat ents have been receiv le 17.2(a)).	tion No red in this National Sta	age
Attachment(s)				·	
	n's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) e Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB		4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal I 6) Other:		2)

Art Unit: 3671

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-8, drawn to a hydraulic system for controllably moving an apron and a bucket of an earth moving apparatus, classified in class 037, subclass 416.
- II. Claims 9-16 and 19-23, drawn to an earth moving or ground leveling apparatus, classified in class 037, subclass 415.
- III. Claim 17, drawn to a method for the movement of a bucket and an apron of an earth moving apparatus, classified in class 037, subclass 195.
- IV. Claims 18 and 24, drawn to a method of controlling movement of a bucket and an apron of an earth moving apparatus, classified in class 037, subclass 466.
- V. Claims 25-29, drawn to an earth moving or ground leveling apparatus, classified in class 037, subclass 381.
- VI. Claims 30-33, drawn to an earth moving or ground leveling apparatus, classified in class 037, subclass 411.
- VII. Claims 34 and 35, drawn to an earth moving or ground leveling appratus, classified in class 037, subclass 412.
- VIII. Claim 36, drawn to a method for the controlled expulsion of the contents of a bucket of an earth moving apparus, classified in class 037, subclass 903.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Art Unit: 3671

Inventions II and I are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the combination does not require a hydraulic fluid supply means or a switching means. The subcombination has separate utility such as in situations not requiring a frame and wheels.

Inventions III and I are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus that does not require a hydraulic fluid supply means or switching means.

Inventions IV and I are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus that does not require a hydraulic fluid supply means or switching means.

Inventions V and I are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require

Art Unit: 3671

the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the combination does not require a hydraulic fluid supply means or switching means. The subcombination has separate utility such as in situations not requiring a movable wall, propulsion means and a lever means.

Inventions VI and I are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the combination does not require a hydraulic fluid supply means or a switching means. The subcombination has separate utility such as in situations not requiring a movable wall and linkage means.

Inventions VII and I are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the combination does not require a hydraulic fluid supply means or a switching means. The subcombination has separate utility such as in situations not requiring a movable wall.

Inventions VIII and I are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus that does not require a hydraulic fluid supply or a switching means.

Inventions III and II are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus that does not require a frame and wheels.

Inventions IV and II are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus that does not require a frame and wheels.

Inventions II and V are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant

Art Unit: 3671

case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the combination does not require a movable wall, propulsion means and lever means. The subcombination has separate utility such as in situations not requiring a frame and wheels.

Inventions II and VI are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the combination does not require a movable wall and linkage means. The subcombination has separate utility such as in situations not requiring a frame and wheels.

Inventions II and VII are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the combination does not require a movable wall. The subcombination has separate utility such as in situations not requiring a frame and wheels.

Inventions VIII and II are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the

Art Unit: 3671

apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process the does not require the step of providing the bucket with a moveable wall.

Inventions III and IV are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the combination does not require electronic means. The subcombination has separate utility such as in situations not requiring locking the bucket in the lowered position.

Inventions III and V are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the process as claimed can be practiced by another and materially different apparatus that does not require a movable wall, propulsion means and lever means.

Inventions III and VI are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the process as claimed can be practiced by another and materially different apparatus that does not require a movable wall and linkage means.

Art Unit: 3671

Inventions III and VII are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the process as claimed can be practiced by another and materially different apparatus that does not require a movable wall.

Inventions III and VIII are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the combination does not require a movable wall and a linkage. The subcombination has separate utility such as in situations requiring locking a bucket in a lowered position and controlling the movement of an apron.

Inventions IV and V are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus that does not require a movable wall, propulsion means and a lever means.

Inventions IV and VI are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another

Art Unit: 3671

materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus that does not require a movable wall and linkage means.

Inventions IV and VII are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus that does not require a movable wall.

Inventions IV and VIII are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the combination does not require a movable wall and a linkage. The subcombination has separate utility such as in situations requiring an apron and electronic means.

Inventions V and VI are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as

Art Unit: 3671

claimed because the combination does not require a linkage means. The subcombination has separate utility such as in situations not requiring a lever means.

Inventions V and VII are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the combination does not require the hydraulic cylinder to be oriented vertically in relation to the ground. The subcombination has separate utility such as in situations not requiring lever means.

Inventions VIII and V are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus that does not require a lever means.

Inventions VI and VII are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. In the instant case, invention VI has separate utility such as in situations requiring linkage means, a by-pass line and a diversion means. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

Inventions VIII and VI are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced

Art Unit: 3671

by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus that does not require a frame, wheels, a by-pass line and a diversion means.

Inventions VIII and VII are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus that does not requie a frame and wheels.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

Species I, Figs. 1-9C;

Species II, Fig. 10;

Species III, Fig. 11;

Species IV, Fig. 12;

Species V, Fig. 13;

Species VI, Fig. 14.

Art Unit: 3671

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claims are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Application/Control Number: 10/666,237 Page 13

Art Unit: 3671

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Christopher J. Novosad whose telephone number is 703-308-2246. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 5:30am-4:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thomas Will can be reached at 703-308-3870. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Christopher J. Novosad Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3671

March 9, 2005